

Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

_____ **Chippewa Soil and Water Conservation** _____ District **FY05** EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:
2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:

Priority areas are the Yellow Medicine WS, Canby Creek WS, Lac qui Parle WS, Lazarus Creek WS, land adjacent to Wood Lake, and identified Well Head Protection Areas.

3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

Factor	Resource Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	H	10
A2 Gully Control	M	5
B1 Water Resource	H	10
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	H	10
C Habitat Improvement	H	10
D Air Quality	L	3
E Impaired Water		1
F Distance		1
G Grazing System		1
H Forest Mgt.		1
Additional Local*		

* If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities. **Priority areas are the Yellow Medicine WS, Canby Creek WS, Lac qui Parle WS, Lazarus Creek WS, land adjacent to Wood Lake, and identified Well Head Protection Areas.**

4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.
5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 05 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

Chair, Local Work Group

Date

